



To: Nampa School District Board of Trustees  
 From: Scott Jacobsen, Budget/Purchasing Supervisor, on behalf of the playground selection committee  
 Re: Playground replacement award recommendations  
 Date: April 2, 2019

On March 7, the District accepted proposals for the replacement of playgrounds at three elementary schools: Iowa, Park Ridge, and Snake River. Given the values of the projects (\$140,000, \$150,000, and \$150,000, respectively) and the nature of the projects, under Idaho Code §67-2805, the District was required to solicit no fewer than three qualified contractors. Five contractors were invited to generate and submit proposals:

- Big T Recreation, of Draper, Utah
- Garrett & Company, of Salt Lake City, Utah (with representation in Middleton, Idaho)
- Lucky Dog Recreation, of Ashton, Idaho (with representation in Meridian, Idaho)
- Recreation Today, of Nampa, Idaho
- Sonntag Recreation, of Salt Lake City, Utah

Under the written specifications, each organization was invited to submit up to two proposals per project.

The District received proposals from four of these invitees. Recreation Today was late with their submissions; after consulting the District’s legal counsel, those submissions were not accepted, and were returned to them unopened. The total number of proposals received, tallied by respondent and site:

Proposal Counts	Big T Recreation	Garrett & Company	Lucky Dog Recreation	Sonntag Recreation	Total by Site
Iowa	2	2	2	1	7
Park Ridge	2	2	2	1	7
Snake River	1	2	1	0	4
Total by Respondent	5	6	5	2	18

An initial review of all proposals was done to ascertain adherence to essential and requested elements of the proposals. One proposal, offered by Big T Recreation for Snake River, was disqualified from consideration due to exceeding the established cost threshold.

The remaining proposals were reviewed by the selection committee with the intent of advancing up to three proposals per site for consideration at the building level. Three were selected at Iowa and Park Ridge, two at Snake River. To generate input from constituents at each building, a survey (sorted by site) was distributed to staff and parents, providing plan view layouts and renderings for each project, with a request that survey participants vote for their preferred proposal. Snake River also sought a vote by

*Inspiring Excellence — Every Child, Every Day*

619 S. Canyon St. Nampa, ID 83686  
[www.nsd131.org](http://www.nsd131.org)





current students, using posters generated from respondent-provided proposal materials. Documentation accompanying this memorandum lists the proposals that were advanced to this stage at each site, and summarizes the votes cast in favor of each proposal.

In order to achieve equal weighting among the parent and staff groups, the building administrator, and the selection committee, a single vote “point” was assigned to each group for each site. These group votes are summarized and tallied in the accompanying documentation, and this vote was used to determine which proposal would be selected for award recommendation at each site.

The playground selection committee formally recommends for award:

Garrett & Company, Proposal #2	Iowa Elementary	\$139,500
Garrett & Company, Proposal #2	Park Ridge Elementary	\$149,025
Garrett & Company, Proposal #2	Snake River Elementary	\$150,000

Should the Board wish to review any additional underlying documentation or the submitted proposals, please contact me at the District Office. I am also available at the Board’s convenience to answer questions regarding the proposal process or these recommendations.

*Inspiring Excellence — Every Child, Every Day*

619 S. Canyon St. Nampa, ID 83686  
www.nsd131.org



**2019 Playground Proposals  
Group Vote Points Tally**

Group Votes	Staff	Parents	Students	Administrator	Committee	Total
Iowa						
Option 1 Garrett & Company #1			No student votes collected	x		1
Option 2 Garrett & Company #2	x	x			x	3
Option 3 Lucky Dog #1						0
Park Ridge <sup>1</sup>						
Option 1 Garrett & Company #1		x	No student votes collected			1
Option 2 Garrett & Company #2	x	x		x	x	4
Option 3 Lucky Dog #2		x				1
Snake River						
Option 1 Lucky Dog						0
Option 2 Garrett & Company #2	x	x	x	x	x	5

<sup>1</sup> Park Ridge Parent votes were equally spread among the three options; to recognize this, a group vote point has been assigned to all three options under the Parents vote. Please refer to Survey Voting Summary for vote tallies by option.

**2019 Playground Proposals  
Staff & Parent Survey Summary**

<b>Iowa</b>	Total Responses <sup>1</sup>	Option 1 <i>Garrett &amp; Company #1</i>	Option 2 <i>Garrett &amp; Company #2</i>	Option 3 <i>Lucky Dog #1</i>	Total Votes Cast
Total	97	27	40	21	88
Staff	28	10	14	4	28
Parents	69	17	26	17	60
<b>Park Ridge</b>	Total Responses <sup>1</sup>	Option 1 <i>Garrett &amp; Company #1</i>	Option 2 <i>Garrett &amp; Company #2</i>	Option 3 <i>Lucky Dog #2</i>	Total Votes Cast
Total	56	18	23	13	54
Staff	19	7	11	1	19
Parents	37	11	12	12	35
<b>Snake River</b>	Total Responses <sup>1</sup>	Option 1 <i>Lucky Dog</i>	Option 2 <i>Garrett &amp; Company #2</i>	<i>No 3rd option presented for voting</i>	Total Votes Cast
Total	48	15	28		43
Staff	26	7	17		24
Parents	22	8	11		19
Students	392	79	313		392

<sup>1</sup> Total Responses captures the count of individuals who logged on to the survey, not total votes cast or votes cast for any particular proposal; Total Votes Cast may not necessarily equal Total Responses.

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Big T Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Elementary 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 139,958.30

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	10
Number of ground based activities	32
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>42</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	4
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>104</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,345.75
Total proposal square footage	5112
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	48

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Slide & box stack likely to be vandalized

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Big T Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Elementary 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 139,958.30

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	6
Number of ground based activities	30
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>36</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	3
Maximum number of users	124

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,128.70
Total proposal square footage	5112
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	48

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Generally like the layout; "modern" design

Seems light on equipment/features given the total budget



**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Option 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 140,000.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

*\*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission*

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	11
Number of ground based activities	22
Total activities	33
Number of swings	12
Swing capacity	12
Number of slides	4
Maximum number of users	150

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$933.33
Total proposal square footage	7201
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	67

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Administrator likes the design; may want to change the "isotope" climber

Two separate swing sets, each with an ADA swing; likely change out one for standard belt

Purchase extra belt swing and store ADA swing on site when ADA swing not needed?

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Option 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 139,950.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	20
Number of ground based activities	16
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>36</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	6
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>170</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$823.24
Total proposal square footage	5632
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	53

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Administrator likes the design overall, wants to change out the "interaxion" climber

Two separate swing sets, each with an ADA swing; likely change out one for standard belt

Purchase extra belt swing and store ADA swing on site when ADA swing not needed?

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Lucky Dog Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Option 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 135,667.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

*\*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission*

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	3
Number of ground based activities	15
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>18</b>
Number of swings	5
Swing capacity	8
Number of slides	6
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>135</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,004.94
Total proposal square footage	4514
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	42

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Written proposal includes an "eagle" climber, but this item is not on the site plan; where is this to be located? Will this item alter the footprint or the volume of wood fiber, as proposed?

Includes 2 accessible swings; possible to convert to a single-bay accessible and add a single-bay standard?

Administrator not much a fan of the eagle climber.

Worry the drums would draw wasps; likely change out for alternative music items.

Too few swings; like the climbing pieces.

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Lucky Dog Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa Option 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 128,628.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

**Proposal Evaluation Considerations**

Number of overhead activities	4
Number of ground based activities	23
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>27</b>
Number of swings	5
Swing capacity	8
Number of slides	3
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>127</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,012.82
Total proposal square footage	4440
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	42

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Written proposal includes an "eagle" climber, but this item is not on the site plan; where is this to be located? Will this item alter the footprint or the volume of wood fiber, as proposed?

Includes 2 accessible swings; possible to convert to a single-bay accessible and add a single-bay standard?

Erector Rock Crawl Over would seem to create a crawl-under, difficult-to-supervise area; likely remove if proposal selected

Administrator not much a fan of the eagle climber

Worry the drums would draw wasps; likely change out for alternative music items.



**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Sonntag Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Iowa
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Iowa
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 139,851.10

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

*\*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission*

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	12
Number of ground based activities	11
Total activities	23
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	6
Maximum number of users	

Cost per user, maximum rating	
Total proposal square footage	4423
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	41

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Lacks user count rating, so unable to calculate a cost per user (Sonntag does not rate structures by user counts)

Features dual ADA swings in single bay; only one requested. Changeable?

Seems short on feature counts versus other proposals

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
*Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Big T Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,958.28

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size		
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions		
Proposal avoids slides with rollers		
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces		
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers		
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>

**Proposal Evaluation Considerations**

Number of overhead activities	6
Number of ground based activities	30
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>36</b>
Number of swings	10
Swing capacity	10
Number of slides	2
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>102</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,470.18
Total proposal square footage	5832
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	54

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Plenty of swings

Smaller rig/fewer features relative to Garrett proposals

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
*Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Big T Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ -

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size		
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	3
Number of ground based activities	25
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>28</b>
Number of swings	10
Swing capacity	10
Number of slides	4
Maximum number of users	93

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$0.00
Total proposal square footage	5832
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	54

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Plenty of swings

Significantly fewer features/smaller main rig than Garrett proposals

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge Option 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,725.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

*\*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission*

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	20
Number of ground based activities	16
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>36</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	6
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>170</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$880.74
Total proposal square footage	5632
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	53

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Large play rig--lots of features

Administrator generally prefers Garrett proposals over others

Six swings, including one ADA; will swing count be a problem with kids/parents/staff?

Possible to order extra belt swing & store ADA when not needed?

Likes the "ten spin"

Unsure what the "pirouette" is--climber? Spinner of some kind?



**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge Option 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,025.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

*\*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission*

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	18
Number of ground based activities	14
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>32</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	6
Maximum number of users	155

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$961.45
Total proposal square footage	5360
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	50

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Large play rig--lots of features

Administrator generally prefers Garrett proposals over others

Six swings, including one ADA; will swing count be a problem with kids/parents/staff?

Likes the "ten spin"

Unsure what the "pirouette" is--climber? Spinner of some kind?

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Lucky Dog Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge Option 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,845.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor		
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps		
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>

**Proposal Evaluation Considerations**

Number of overhead activities	5
Number of ground based activities	23
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>28</b>
Number of swings	10
Swing capacity	10
Number of slides	6
Maximum number of users	198

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$756.79
Total proposal square footage	6844
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	64

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Written proposal includes a "falcon" climber, but this item is not on the site plan; where is this to be located? Will this item alter the footprint or the volume of wood fiber, as proposed?

Includes 2 accessible swings; possible to convert to a single-bay accessible and add a single-bay standard?

*LD has previously stated ADA swings must be two per bay*

ADA swing location & required protective zone would not allow conversion to standard belt swing

Proposal states, "No drainage or loose fill in pit." Does this proposal lack an appropriate drainage plan?

Administrator doesn't really like the falcon climber

Administrator questions whether layout fits the site properly

*(Excavator inspected site w/ LD prior to proposal formulation; layout expected to fit as requested)*

Included "tuned drums" would need to be traded for alternative music items (wasp problems anticipated)

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Lucky Dog Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge Option 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,977.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor		
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps		
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	3
Number of ground based activities	15
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>18</b>
Number of swings	8
Swing capacity	8
Number of slides	5
Maximum number of users	219

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$684.83
Total proposal square footage	5393
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	50

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Written proposal includes a "falcon" climber, but this item is not on the site plan; where is this to be located? Will this item alter the footprint or the volume of wood fiber, as proposed?

Includes 2 accessible swings; possible to convert to a single-bay accessible and add a single-bay standard?

*LD has previously stated ADA swings must be two per bay*

ADA swing location & required protective zone would not allow conversion to standard belt swing

Erector Rock with Hole may create supervision issue; would have to be changed or removed

Proposal states, "No drainage or loose fill in pit." Does this proposal lack an appropriate drainage plan?

Administrator doesn't really like the falcon climber

Included "tuned drums" would need to be traded for alternative music items (wasp problems anticipated)

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Sonntag Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Park Ridge
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 139,851.10

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size		
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps		
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	12
Number of ground based activities	15
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>27</b>
Number of swings	6
Swing capacity	6
Number of slides	6
Maximum number of users	

Cost per user, maximum rating	
Total proposal square footage	4356
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	41

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Unsure layout would fit within prescribed space

Good number of features; seems similar in count to LD, fewer than Garrett rigs

Dual ADA swing in single bay; changeable to standard belt?

*Layout indicates adequate space for appropriate protective zone*

No cost per user calculation possible; Sonntag does not rate installations by user counts



**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
*Exceeds cost limit; no committee  
evaluation made.*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Big T Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Snake River
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Snake River
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 163,115.96

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	x	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	x	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	x	
Respondent's Certifications	x	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit		x
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor		
Proposed equipment features requested colors		
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size		
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders		
Proposal features appropriate access ramps		
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber		
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals		
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures		
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions		
Proposal avoids slides with rollers		
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces		
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers		
Proposal includes overhead activities		
Proposal includes ground-based activities		
Proposal includes swings		
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	5
Number of ground based activities	24
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>29</b>
Number of swings	
Swing capacity	
Number of slides	
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>87</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$1,874.90
Total proposal square footage	
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	0

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

---

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

**Committee evaluation decision:**  
**Do not advance to building  
evaluation stage**

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Snake River
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Snake River Option 1
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 150,000.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	17
Number of ground based activities	22
Total activities	39
Number of swings	12
Swing capacity	12
Number of slides	6
Maximum number of users	200

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$750.00
Total proposal square footage	9776
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	91

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Plan view indicates layout adheres to requested north area shape

South area layout would require relocation of irrigation line (acceptable per Maintenance)

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Garrett & Company
<b>Project:</b>	Snake River
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Snake River Option 2
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 150,000.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor	X	
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps	X	
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions	X	
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area		
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	23
Number of ground based activities	25
Total activities	48
Number of swings	12
Swing capacity	12
Number of slides	7
Maximum number of users	210

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$714.29
Total proposal square footage	9886
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	92

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Would like music features added to north (K2) area; trade out other equipment of equivalent value

Six swings per pit, each swing set with an ADA; possibly change one to standard belt.  
Possibly order extra belt & store ADA swing when not needed?

South area size would require relocation of irrigation line; acceptable per Maintenance

Prefers this Garrett option over the other

Plan view indicates layout adheres to requested north area shape

**Nampa School District No. 131  
2019 Playground Replacements  
Proposal Evaluation Form**

*Committee evaluation decision:  
Advance to building evaluation stage*

<b>Respondent:</b>	Lucky Dog Recreation
<b>Project:</b>	Snake River
<b>Evaluation Date:</b>	3/8/2019
<b>Proposal Name/Number:</b>	Snake River 1 Option
<b>Proposal Cost:</b>	\$ 149,998.00

<b>Submission Items Checklist</b>	Yes	No
Copy of Public Works License, or # provided	X	
Copy of Manufacturer's Warranty	X	
Copy of Installer's Warranty	X	
Respondent's Certifications	X	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Criteria (Yes/No)</b>	Yes	No
Proposal is within established cost limit	X	
Proposal includes all equipment, materials, and labor		
Proposed equipment features requested colors	X	
Proposal utilizes 5" nominal post size	X	
Proposal features sunken installation with 8" concrete borders	X	
Proposal features appropriate access ramps		
Proposal includes adequate loose fill wood fiber	X	
Proposal avoids fabrics and seasonal removals	X	
Proposal avoids shade and roof structures	X	
Proposal avoids tubes and other supervisory obstructions		
Proposal avoids slides with rollers	X	
Proposal avoids bare/unpainted metal surfaces	X	
Proposal avoids large rope style climbers	X	
Proposal includes overhead activities	X	
Proposal includes ground-based activities	X	
Proposal includes swings	X	
Proposal fits within allowable footprint/area	X	
<i>*Where evaluation lacks yes/no selection, particular criterion was not discernable from initial submission</i>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Proposal Evaluation Considerations</b>	
Number of overhead activities	22
Number of ground based activities	22
<b>Total activities</b>	<b>44</b>
Number of swings	4
Swing capacity	4
Number of slides	6
<b>Maximum number of users</b>	<b>235</b>

Cost per user, maximum rating	\$638.29
Total proposal square footage	5950
Projected volume, annual wood fiber replacement (@ 3" depth), cubic yards	56

**Notes/Questions/Comments**

Written proposal includes a "horse" climber, but this item is not on the site plan; where is this to be located? Will this item alter the footprint or the volume of wood fiber, as proposed?

Includes 2 accessible swings; possible to convert to a single-bay accessible and add a single-bay standard?

Boulder stack may create supervision issue

Proposal states, "No drainage or loose fill in pit." Does this proposal lack an appropriate drainage plan?

Impressed by "race slides" in south area; appears to be a larger slide (higher) than typical/offered by others

Unclear if north area adheres to requested layout shape to eliminate surrounding grass area

Fewer swings than either Garrett option