



# Lincoln Elementary School

333 S. D Street • Exeter, CA 93221 • 559.592.2141 • Grades K-5

Veronica Raigoza, Principal  
vraigoza@exeter.k12.ca.us  
www.exeter.k12.ca.us/les/

## 2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



### Exeter Unified School District

215 N Crespi Ave  
Exeter, CA 93221  
559.592.9421

[www.exeter.k12.ca.us/](http://www.exeter.k12.ca.us/)

### District Governing Board

Ray Strable  
Virginia Padilla  
Dean Sutton  
Dewayn Faulkner  
Gene Lytle  
Mark Hellwig  
Michael Giefer

### District Administration

George Eddy  
**Superintendent**  
Dr. Donya Wheeler  
**Deputy Superintendent**  
Dr. Michelle Pengilly  
**Director Of English Language  
Development and Special Projects**  
Aimee Miculian  
**Director of Special Education**

### School Description

Lincoln School is located on the east side of Exeter, California. Lincoln School is a neighborhood school which serves approximately 620 kindergarten through fifth grade students in the Exeter Union School district attendance area.

### MISSION STATEMENT

We are the nurturing, dedicated, and highly qualified group of teachers from Lincoln Elementary School in Exeter. We are a community of educators that collaboratively and continuously strive to meet the educational standards and individual needs of our students.

We are here to create problem solvers and critical thinkers. We are here to empower and educate a diverse population by supporting their academic, physical, and personal/social growth so that they become responsible, productive members of our global society.

Through teamwork, collaboration, and daily practice of research-based strategies, we will create a community of responsible learners who are self-directed and accountable for their learning.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	115
Grade 1	91
Grade 2	89
Grade 3	91
Grade 4	115
Grade 5	78
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>579</b>

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.0
Asian	0.3
Filipino	0.2
Hispanic or Latino	65.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	31.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.5
English Learners	25.4
Students with Disabilities	4.8
Foster Youth	1.4

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Lincoln Elementary School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	27	26	26
Without Full Credential	3	2	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Exeter Unified School District	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Lincoln Elementary School	16-17	17-18	18-19
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

\* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Our school and district transitioned to the Common Core State Standards during the 2013-14 school year. We have not officially adopted new core curriculum since our previous adoptions listed below, with the exception of Grades TK-5 in English-language arts. We have contracted with our local Tulare County Office of Education and developed Units of Study in English-language Arts (ELA) and Math. Many grade levels utilize materials from our current ELA adoption, and both our previous ELA and History-Social Science adoptions for these ELA units. For our math units, we have used materials from EngageNY, Georgia Units from the Georgia Department of Education, Illustrative Mathematics, North Carolina Mathematics, and YouCubed. In 2016, we piloted and adopted Wonders (McGraw Hill Education) ELA curriculum for Kindergarten and Transitional Kindergarten. Additionally, we purchased two supplemental programs from Voyager Sopris Learning in August 2016 for reading intervention: Read Well (Grades K-3) and Language! Live (Grades 4-5). In 2017, we adopted Wonders (McGraw Hill Education) ELA curriculum for Second Grade. In 2018, we adopted Wonders (McGraw Hill Education) ELA curriculum for grades 1, 3, 4, and 5.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: December 2018	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill Education C. 2017 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	Envision C. 2009 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	Harcourt: Calif. Science C. 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	Harcourt C. 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Facility Conditions: Student safety and a clean and well maintained facility are emphasized. School grounds are maintained on a daily basis by two fulltime School Maintenance Specialists and two part time Custodians. Annual Facility Walk-Arounds with site/district administration and school board members assure the site is well-maintained and areas of concern are addressed in a timely manner. A safety inspection was completed on all swings and other playground equipment campus wide by Risk Management. A yearly inspection was made of all fire extinguishers and test panels. All AC/Heating units were serviced.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 2018 November		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Poor	Boys RR broken tiles & drinking fountains not working properly (in classes and outside)
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
Year and month in which data were collected: 2018 November

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Overall Rating	<b>Fair</b>	

**B. Pupil Outcomes**

**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	38.0	47.0	41.0	45.0	48.0	50.0
Math	41.0	39.0	27.0	32.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

**State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	21.2	26.2	32.5

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Percent Tested</b>	<b>Percent Met or Exceeded</b>
<b>All Students</b>	293	291	99.32	47.08
<b>Male</b>	145	144	99.31	36.81
<b>Female</b>	148	147	99.32	57.14
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	190	189	99.47	39.15
<b>White</b>	91	90	98.90	61.11
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	227	226	99.56	42.04
<b>English Learners</b>	87	86	98.85	31.40
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	24	23	95.83	26.09
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	293	291	99.32	39.18
Male	145	144	99.31	39.58
Female	148	147	99.32	38.78
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	190	189	99.47	34.39
White	91	90	98.9	46.67
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	227	226	99.56	33.63
English Learners	87	87	100	35.63
Students with Disabilities	24	23	95.83	17.39
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parent Involvement: Parent involvement and participation is expanding. Special lunch days have been established to welcome parents on campus. PTO is an integral part of our parent involvement. Monthly PTO meetings and newsletters keep parents informed of upcoming events. The automated telephone system is also used to communicate important school and district events to all parents in English and Spanish in addition to Remind 101 text messaging. Now connecting on Instagram to reach more people using the latest technology to stay connected to the community and parents.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

Lincoln's School Safety Plan consists of 5 major components. The following components are: Personal Characteristics of Students and Staff, The School's Physical Environment, The School's Social Environment, The School's Cultural Environment and the Safe School Plan (includes all details of each emergency drill along with drill logs). There are different components of the Safe School Plan. We have a public document that summarizes the major emergency drills and procedures. The school also has a details plan that include class and school details available at the site and to all emergency support agencies in Exeter. The plan was last reviewed by our School Board, School Site Council and our staff with it's final updates in Dec of 2018. Our entire staff and site has a summarized copy in each classroom. Our teacher substitutes also have a short detailed version in their substitute folders. Every year our entire staff is asked to be certified in four mandatory trainings to maintain safety at our school site and district.

**Suspensions and Expulsions**

School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	2.7	2.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	6.2	6.2	6.4
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

**D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School**

**Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)**

Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	0
Nurse	.20
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.20
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0
Other	1
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
K	21	22	23	3	1		3	4	5			
1	22	23	23				4	4	4			
2	22	21	22	1	1		4	3	4			
3	23	23	23				4	5	4			
4	25	23	29				4	4	4			
5	23	24	26				4	4	3			

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

**Professional Development provided for Teachers**

All Professional Development is based off of local and state assessment data.

Data Attached

Over the past three years, professional development has been delivered to teachers in different ways. Starting with the 2013-14 school year, our district has contracted with our local Tulare County Office of Education (TCOE) to provide professional development for teachers. It began with Common Core State Standards awareness training and has evolved into professional development for specific content areas. Through our partnership with TCOE, teachers have participated in: Math Lesson Labs (Co-plan/Co-teach), Math Number Talks, Fractions, Tape Diagrams, ELA Units of Study, K-2 Literacy Centers, Writing Unit pacing, Next Generation Science Standards (4th and 5th grade), and English Language Development (ELD). On-site professional development has been delivered through after-school Teaching and Learnings from our Academic Coaches, Administration and other teachers. Topics

have included: English Language Development, Writing, Guided Reading, Mathematical Practices, Math Fluency Games, Close Reading, Academic Conversations, and Lesson Design. Professional Development opportunities have also been provided by our district.

These include:

Google Training, technology integration trainings (SAMR Academy), and English Language Development.

Teachers are supported during implementation throughout the year through ongoing in-class coaching, meetings with Academic Coaches, and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs).

The number of Staff Development days provided by our district are:

2016-17: 5 days

2017-18: 5 days

2018-19: 7 days

Teachers have multiple offerings of support. Site Administration and Coaches base support on class focus walks and data collection. Teachers who are new to the profession have a TIPS mentor or a Intern Mentor assigned.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,795	\$45,681
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$69,059	\$70,601
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,671	\$89,337
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$104,486	\$110,053
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$119,557	\$115,224
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,770	\$124,876
Superintendent Salary	\$204,967	\$182,466
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	29.0	33.0
Administrative Salaries	5.0	6.0

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

Students who show a significant academic need in reading are identified and put into a small reading groups. Title I funds are used to provide this support. Students receive an additional 30-45 minutes of reading instruction daily in addition to the core instruction from their teacher.

Exeter Unified School District transitioned to Intensive Intervention formerly known as Supplemental Educational Services during the 2016-2017 school year. Intensive Intervention can be defined as supplemental tutoring and academic support offered to the most fragile students within the district. Elementary sites currently offer after school tutoring twice a week to Long-Term English Learners (LTELs) and our Middle School and High School offers support in the form of after school tutoring in an EL Academy. Elementary students are focusing on ELA and Math with a concentration to building skill with the ELD standards. Students at the middle school utilize the "My Path" program designed to reteach academic areas that have been identified individually for each student and are Pre- and Post-tested for academic growth. The EL Academy for students 9th-12th is offered to LTEL'S as a support to academics and college

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	9,219	2,410	6,809	\$74,939
District	◆	◆	6,830	\$82,955
State	◆	◆	\$7,125	\$71,392
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-0.3	-10.2
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-4.5	4.8

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.