



Seaside Middle School


999 Coe Ave. • Seaside, CA 93955 • (831) 899-7080 Ext 2799 • Grades 6-8

Dr. Manuel Nunez, Principal

mnunez@mpusd.k12.ca.us

sms.mpusd.net

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



Monterey Peninsula Unified School District

700 Pacific St.

Monterey, CA 93942-1031

(831) 645-1200

www.mpusd.net

District Governing Board

Mr. Tom Jennings, President

Dr. Jon Hill, Clerk Vice President

Ms. Wendy Root Askew

Ms. Debra Gramespacher

Dr. Bettye Lusk

Ms. Alana Myles

Dr. Amanda Whitmire

District Administration

Dr. PK Diffenbaugh

Superintendent

Cresta McIntosh

Associate Superintendent

Educational Services

Beth Wodecki

Assistant Superintendent

Secondary

Ryan Altemeyer

Associate Superintendent Business

Services

Bijou S. Beltran

Assistant Superintendent Human

Resources

Marci McFadden

Chief of Communications and

Engagement

School Description

Seaside Middle School is a member of the New Tech Network and has embarked on transforming learning for all students through project based learning. By offering technology integrated Project Based Learning we are able to provide a 1:1 computing environment where laptop computers are utilized as a research and learning tool. Teachers focus on providing engaging projects centered on the Common Core Standards and connected to student's personal lives with real world applications. Students work in a collaborative learning environment to master each subject content and to improve their Communication, Aesthetics, Critical Thinking, Creativity and Collaboration skills.

The sixth grade student cohort provides a highly supportive program in the first year of middle school. The main focus is to separate bell schedule from the seventh and eighth grades, providing these students with their own break, recess, and lunch time. Students have only two core teachers, one for English language arts and social science, and one for math and science. With fewer student contacts and a common prep period, teachers can work together to meet individual students' needs. Classrooms are grouped together and follow the same block schedule. This permits more flexibility for classes to differentiate group strategies according to student need.

Students are introduced to a college going culture at Seaside Middle School. We believe that every student should have a choice in their future, and that a good education provides them with the opportunity to make the best choice for themselves. Our AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) mission is to close the achievement gap by preparing all students for college readiness. We adopted the iReady curriculum for both Math and Reading to meet the needs of the students who are performing below grade level as well as to make data a focus for our staff during Professional Learning Communities.

Vision Statement

Together with community stakeholders, we at Seaside Middle School are focused on preparing students for college and careers through a student centered PBL approach. We aim to Inspire and empower students to excel both academically and socially, while preparing them to be productive citizens and future leaders.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	293
Grade 7	239
Grade 8	217
Total Enrollment	749

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	2.8
Filipino	4.1
Hispanic or Latino	74.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.0
White	7.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.0
English Learners	35.4
Students with Disabilities	13.2
Foster Youth	0.3

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Seaside Middle School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	33	34	30
Without Full Credential	2	3	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	♦	♦	441
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	40
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Seaside Middle School	16-17	17-18	18-19
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	2

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Monterey Peninsula Unified School District held a public hearing on August 2018, and determined that the school had sufficient and good quality textbooks and instructional materials, pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in August 2018 about the quality, currency, and availability of the California Common Core standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2018	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Holt, Literature and Language Arts - Adopted 2010 Pearson, Reality Central - Adopted 2011 Kinsella, Strategic English - Adopted 2011 Scholastic Inc, Read 180 - Adopted 2013 Teacher-Developed Units of Study - Adopted 2016 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2017 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2018 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	Carnegie Math Course 1 2014 Carnegie Math Course 2 2014 Carnegie Math Course 3 2014 Math 180 - Adopted 2015 Supplemented Teacher-Developed Units of Study - Adopted 2016 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2017 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2018 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	McDougal Littell, Focus on Earth Science - Adopted 2007 McDougal Littell, Focus on Life Science - Adopted 2007 McDougal Littell, Focus on Physical Science - Adopted 2007 Stem Scopes - Adopted 2016 Textbooks and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2017 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2018 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	McDougal-Littell, World History: Ancient Civilization - Adopted in 2006 McDougal-Littell, World History: Medieval and Early Modern Times - Adopted in 2006 McDougal-Littell, Creating America, A History of the U.S. - Adopted in 2006 TCI Supplemental - Adopted 2017 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2017 Textbook and Instructional Materials reviewed August 2018 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In 2010, the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District overwhelmingly approved Measure P, a \$110 million locally-controlled school bond measure to fund the first phase of critical repairs and improvement to our local schools. The district's newest school was built in 1965, more than 50 years ago, and our Facilities Needs Assessment has identified over \$680 million in critical repairs to all MPUSD schools. Recently, in 2018, voters approved Measure I, a \$213 million school facilities bond by 70%.

In 2019, the district is working with stakeholders to prioritize its facilities projects. Visit www.mpusd.net for additional details.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)		
Year and month in which data were collected: June 2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Good	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	24.0	25.0	38.0	39.0	48.0	50.0
Math	13.0	12.0	27.0	27.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
7	22.5	17.3	16.0

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	747	733	98.13	25.45
Male	399	390	97.74	16.93
Female	348	343	98.56	35.01
Black or African American	34	33	97.06	31.25
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	18	18	100.00	50.00
Filipino	32	32	100.00	40.63
Hispanic or Latino	557	548	98.38	20.86
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	17	16	94.12	37.50
White	56	54	96.43	48.15
Two or More Races	32	31	96.88	23.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	682	669	98.09	22.05
English Learners	449	440	98.00	12.74
Students with Disabilities	77	74	96.10	2.86
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	747	731	97.86	11.72
Male	399	386	96.74	10.67
Female	348	345	99.14	12.87
Black or African American	34	33	97.06	9.38
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	18	18	100	22.22
Filipino	32	32	100	31.25
Hispanic or Latino	557	547	98.2	8.77
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	17	16	94.12	12.5
White	56	54	96.43	26.42
Two or More Races	32	30	93.75	13.79
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	682	668	97.95	9.3
English Learners	449	440	98	5.58
Students with Disabilities	77	73	94.81	1.43
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

We provide many opportunities for parent involvement. On the School Site Council, parents help develop our school site plan and advise us on the best use of specific funding from the state. PTSA parents lead all fundraising efforts, helping us to accomplish many improvements. Parents of our English learners share their ideas to improve their children's academic program through our English Language Advisory Committee.

Parents volunteer their help for such extracurricular activities as sports, playground supervision, clubs, and school dances. Parents consistently come forward to chaperone dances, help in the office, provide treats for staff on special days, and develop International Days or Science Fair projects. New members and their talents are always needed and welcomed. Teachers welcome volunteers, especially for field trips and special projects. To find out more about how you can help, please contact our principal, Manuel Nunez at (831) 899-7080, ext 2799.

Additionally, we offer a Parent University, for our families which is a series of extraordinary classes and community events designed to support families in the creation of new learning possibilities in their children's lives. Decades of research shows that when families are involved in their child's education, children succeed academically. Classes include Math Support, a Family Literacy, Dance, Attendance/Truancy, Technology, financial aid and College Systems. Community events for the whole family are scheduled three times per year and include a focus on District resources and programs. Parents can also plan school wide events such our fall festival, our posada, and our spring relay for life.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The safety and well being of students and staff are high priorities for the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District and this school. Under Senate Bill 187, every school in the district is required to complete (or review and update) a School Safety Plan by March 1 of each year. The plan is reviewed and evaluated by the School Safety Planning Committee, the School Site Council, and the school principal before being presented to the Board of Education for final review and adoption. The approved plans are posted on each school's website, as well as the district website at www.mpusd.net.

Highlight's of this school's plan are detailed below.

Our safety plan was last updated in November of 2018. This ensures that guidelines for fire, earthquake, and intruder alerts are established and current. The complete school safety plan is available by request in the office. We provide every staff member with a safety binder that outlines all the procedures for both students and staff. We hold earthquake, fire, and intruder drills throughout the year. We also hold unscheduled drills to ensure that both staff and students are able to react to any emergency situation. The safety team meets to discuss safety issues and to review the success of recent drills.

All of our staff are certified through training by ALICE (Alert, Lockdown, Inform, Counter, Evacuate) in order to provide preparation and a plan on how to more proactively handle the threat of an aggressive intruder or active shooter event. Additionally, this past year all of our classroom and areas where any adult is present has been secured by a device LockBlock. This was done to prepare all of our us for a quick response to a lock down in the event of a campus intruder.

Our school is a closed campus, with visitors required to check in and out in the office. Students are allowed on campus at 8 a.m. every morning, and are required to leave campus by 4 p.m, unless participating in after school activities. To increase additional security, cameras have been installed to have more visuals in general areas like our main office, classrooms, food courtyard, and the blacktop.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	13.7	10.4	6.3
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	4.5	3.6	3.2
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	2.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	0.0
Nurse	0.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.0
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	396

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
English	22.0	27.0	36.0	17	8	6	9	7		1	5	10
Mathematics	22.0	25.0	25.0	9	5	3	13	9	13		3	2
Science	30.0	27.0	25.0	1	3	4	6	8	13	9	5	1
Social Science	29.0	33.0	41.0	2	2	3	7	6		6	5	8

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Seaside Middle believes that it is possible to attract and retain talented teachers by sharing a dynamic vision committed to excellence and creating a professional learning environment where staff can learn and grow along with our students. Our teachers actively participate in professional development during the academic year in order to provide effective classroom instruction focused on student achievement.

Staff development is provided by both the District Educational Services department and via site department and faculty meetings. Staff members work collaboratively to determine the school's needs, and take direction from District and State requirements when establishing professional development goals for the school year. Teachers participate in on-going professional development via faculty and department meetings, as well as district level collaborative professional development workshops during the school year and in the summer. P

Professional development focus areas include Common Core instructional strategies, use of technology in the classroom, examining data to drive instruction, developing common assessments and pacing guides, differentiated instruction to meet the diverse needs of students, teaching in the collaborative classroom, AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) instructional strategies, English Language Learner strategies, and preparing students for the Smarter Balanced Assessments at the end of the year. This past year, our campus has also take a step in looking at equity for all of our stakeholders. Several teachers have participated in the unconscious bias training led by the California Teachers Association. Moreover, leadership team has focused on self-care strategies to support all of our teachers.

To better support our teachers, we have a Coach/Teacher that works closely with classroom teachers in the development of lesson plans that encompass the use of technology. We will incorporate campus/classroom visitations, observations, coaching and mentoring. Being part of the New Tech Network allows staff to participate in annual conferences and attend workshops offered by the Buck Institute.

Every individual has a 90 minute block of time per day to plan individually or to work with other department members for collaborative planning. Each week, approximately 3.5 hours of time is allotted for staff meeting time; this time is broken down in to full faculty planning for common goals, visions, and school wide concerns, grade level time, course level teams to work across all of our school sites, and time for teams and individuals to work towards meeting goals set forth on their PBL units.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,875	\$47,547
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,733	\$74,775
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,355	\$93,651
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,517	\$116,377
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$104,946	\$122,978
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$114,217	\$135,565
Superintendent Salary	\$206,150	\$222,853
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	34.0	35.0
Administrative Salaries	7.0	6.0

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

A majority of funding reported as districtwide costs is expended for school level expenditures, therefore the results appear to be lower operating costs at the school site level.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,499	\$412	\$5,087	\$61,233
District	◆	◆	\$8,489	\$68,002
State	◆	◆	\$7,125	\$76,522
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-50.1	-10.5
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-33.4	-22.2

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.